



Institute for Theoretical Physics I, Faculty of Physics and Astronomy Numerics Group, Faculty of Mathematics

Multi-Physics Simulations in Space Plasma Physics

Conceptualization and Implementation with the muphyII framework

Simon Lautenbach

15th International Symposium for Space Simulations

August 3, 2024

Session Outline



- Multi-Physics Plasma Modelling
 - Motivation
 - Plasma Physics Models
 - Model Implementations
 - Model Coupling
- Hands-on: Multi-Physics Plasma Modelling with the muphy2 framework
 - muphy2 Framework Implementation
 - Simple Examples

Multi-Physics Plasma Modelling

Space Plasma Parameters





Simon Lautenbach

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024

Separation of Scales





The Range of Plasma Models





➤ Physical Fidelity



What springs to your mind about test particle methods?

114 responses





Evolve particle trajectories in prescribed fields

Advantages:

- Extremely computationally efficient
- Useful for studying single-particle dynamics
- Can provide insights into particle behavior in complex field geometries

Disadvantages:

- No self-consistency (fields don't evolve with particles)
- Misses collective effects
- Cannot capture plasma self-organization or instabilities

Common Improvements:

• Incorporate simple field solvers for partial self-consistency



What springs to your mind about MHD?

64 responses





Single-fluid model treating plasma as a perfectly conducting fluid

Advantages:

- Computationally efficient for large-scale phenomena
- Captures basic plasma dynamics and equilibria
- Well-suited for studying macroscopic instabilities

Common Improvements:

- Include resistivity for finite conductivity effects
- Add Hall terms for better small-scale physics
- Incorporate two-fluid effects for improved species separation

Disadvantages:

- Ignores kinetic effects and separate species dynamics
- Assumes infinite conductivity
- Cannot resolve small-scale phenomena or high-frequency waves



What springs to your mind about multimoment fluid models?

47 responses





Evolves density, momentum, and energy for each species

Advantages:

- Captures separate evolution of electron and ion fluids
- Includes basic kinetic effects through heat flux
- More complete than standard two-fluid MHD

Common Improvements:

- Add higher-order moments for improved energy transport modeling
- Develop improved closure schemes based on kinetic theory
- Couple with reduced kinetic models for specific particle populations

Disadvantages:

- Limited description of pressure tensor anisotropy
- Misses higher-order kinetic effects
- Closure problem for heat flux





What springs to your mind about hybrid models?

62 responses



Hybrid



Kinetic ions with fluid electrons

Kinetic ions with fluid electrons using full Maxwell equations

Advantages:

- Captures ion kinetic effects
- More efficient than full kinetic
- Good for ion-scale phenomena

Disadvantages:

- Misses electron kinetic effects
- Challenging to implement efficiently
- Limited by fluid electron description

Common Improvements:

- Implement delta-f method for electrons to capture some kinetic effects
- Couple with local fully kinetic solvers in regions of interest
- Develop improved electron fluid models



What springs to your mind about PIC models?

65 responses



3



Fully kinetic particle-in-cell method coupled with Maxwell's equations

Advantages:

- Captures full range of kinetic phenomena
- Intuitive particle representation
- Flexible for complex geometries

Common Improvements:

Disadvantages:

- Computationally expensive
- Suffers from numerical noise
- Challenging for high dynamic range problems
- Implement adaptive particle weighting to reduce noise
- Develop implicit methods for improved efficiency
- Couple with reduced models for multi-scale simulations





What springs to your mind about continuous Vlasov models?

47 responses



Vlasov



Solves Vlasov equation for distribution function coupled with Maxwell's equations

Advantages:

- Fully kinetic with low numerical noise
- Captures full range of plasma phenomena
- Excellent conservation properties

Common Improvements:

- Implement adaptive mesh refinement in phase space
- Develop semi-Lagrangian methods for improved efficiency
- Couple with reduced models for multi-scale simulations

Disadvantages:

- Extremely computationally expensive
- Challenging to implement efficiently
- Suffers from curse of dimensionality

The Range of Plasma Models





➤ Physical Fidelity

Localization of 'Activity'





Magnetic Reconnection





author: ChamouJacoN

Magnetotail Reconnection VDF





Simon Lautenbach

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024

Multi-Physics Approach





Simon Lautenbach

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024



Early efforts to couple kinetic Boltzmann descriptions to fluid models include

- Patrick Le Tallec and François Mallinger (1997). "Coupling Boltzmann and Navier–Stokes Equations by Half Fluxes". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 136.1, pp. 51–67
- S. Tiwari and A. Klar (1998). "An adaptive domain decomposition procedure for Boltzmann and Euler equations". In: *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 90.2, pp. 223–237
- Stéphane Dellacherie (2003). "Kinetic-Fluid Coupling in the Field of the Atomic Vapor Laser Isotopic Separation: Numerical Results in the Case of a Monospecies Perfect Gas". In: AIP Conference Proceedings 663.1, pp. 947–956
- Pierre Degond, Giacomo Dimarco, and Luc Mieussens (2010). "A multiscale kinetic-fluid solver with dynamic localization of kinetic effects". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 229.13, pp. 4907–4933
- Thierry Goudon et al. (2013). "Asymptotic-preserving schemes for kinetic-fluid modeling of disperse two-phase flows". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 246, pp. 145–164
- Sudarshan Tiwari et al. (2013). "Coupled solution of the Boltzmann and Navier–Stokes equations in gas–liquid two phase flow". In: Computers & Fluids 71, pp. 283–296



In the context of space plasma physics: Static kinetic regions:

- Fixed-region 1D MHD-PIC coupling: Tooru Sugiyama and Kanya Kusano (2007). "Multi-scale plasma simulation by the interlocking of magnetohydrodynamic model and particle-in-cell kinetic model". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 227.2, pp. 1340–1352
- Two-way HMHD-PIC interface coupling using iPIC3D and BATS-R-US: Lars K. S. Daldorff et al. (2014). "Two-way coupling of a global Hall magnetohydrodynamics model with a local implicit particle-in-cell model". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 268, pp. 236–254
- Two-way MHD-PIC interface coupling using iPIC3D and MPI-AMRVAC:
 K. D. Makwana, R. Keppens, and G. Lapenta (2017). "Two-way coupling of magnetohydrodynamic simulations with embedded particle-in-cell simulations". In: *Computer Physics Communications* 221, pp. 81–94
- One-way MHD-iPIC3D coupling in global simulations: Raymond J. Walker et al. (2019). "Embedding particle-in-cell simulations in global magnetohydrodynamic simulations of the magnetosphere". In: *Journal of Plasma Physics* 85.1, p. 905850109
 Giovanni Lapenta et al. (2020). "Multiscale MHD-Kinetic PIC Study of Energy Fluxes Caused by

Reconnection". In: Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 125.3, e2019JA027276



In the contect of space plasma physics: Adaptive kinetic regions:

- Adaptive two-way MHD-PIC (AMPS, BATS-R-US) Yinsi Shou et al. (2021). "Magnetohydrodynamic with Adaptively Embedded Particle-in-Cell model: MHD-AEPIC". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 446, p. 110656
- Adaptive two-way MHD-PIC (FLEKS, BATS-R-US) global simulations Xiantong Wang, Yuxi Chen, and Gábor Tóth (2022a). "Global Magnetohydrodynamic Magnetosphere Simulation With an Adaptively Embedded Particle-In-Cell Model". In: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* 127.8, e2021JA030091 Xiantong Wang, Yuxi Chen, and Gábor Tóth (2022b). "Simulation of Magnetospheric Sawtooth Oscillations: The Role of Kinetic Reconnection in the Magnetotail". In: *Geophysical Research Letters* 49.15, e2022GL099638



Two-fluid/Maxwell and kinetic coupling :

- One-way bulk coupling two-fluid/Maxwell with PIC: Stefano Markidis et al. (2014). "The Fluid-Kinetic Particle-in-Cell method for plasma simulations". In: Journal of Computational Physics. Frontiers in Computational Physics 271, pp. 415–429
- Two-way coupling two-fluid/Maxwell with Vlasov M. Rieke, T. Trost, and R. Grauer (2015). "Coupled Vlasov and two-fluid codes on GPUs". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 283, pp. 436–452
- Adaptive two-way coupling two-fluid/Maxwell with Vlasov Simon Lautenbach and Rainer Grauer (2018). "Multiphysics Simulations of Collisionless Plasmas". In: Frontiers in Physics 6
- Application to mid-size problems
 F. Allmann-Rahn et al. (2024). "The *muphy*II code: Multiphysics plasma simulation on large HPC systems". In: *Computer Physics Communications* 296, p. 109064

Two-Way MHD-PIC Coupling



1. ADVANCE MHD SIMULATION $t^n \rightarrow t^{n+1}$



3. ADVANCE PIC SIMULATION $t^n \rightarrow t^{n+1}$



2. PROVIDE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS TO PIC REGION



4. CORECT MHD SIMULATION WITH PIC REGION VALUES



Plasma Model Equations



Which models do you use in your research?



ISSS-15; August 3, 2024



The *Vlasov equation* describes the evolution of a distribution $f_s(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ of interacting charged particles:

$$\partial_t f_s = -\mathbf{v}_s \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f_s - \frac{q_s}{m_s} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_s$$

The electromagnetic fields are governed by *Maxwell's equations*:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{E} = c^2 (\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \mu_0 \mathbf{J}) \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho_c}{\varepsilon_0}$$
$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

The moments $\int v^{(k)} \langle \cdot \rangle dv$ of the Vlasov equation constitute the *fluid moment hierarchy*

$$\partial_t \mu_s^{(k)} = -\nabla \cdot \mu_s^{(k+1)} + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \operatorname{sym} \left(\mu_s^{(k-1)} \mathbf{E} + \mu_s^{(k)} \times \mathbf{B} \right)$$

where the electromagnetic fields are governed by Maxwell's equations.

The first three moments are given by the following ten equations:

$$\partial_t n_s = -\nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{u}_s)$$

$$m_s \partial_t (n_s \mathbf{u}_s) = n_s q_s (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B}) - \nabla \cdot \mathbb{P}_s$$

$$\partial_t \mathbb{P}_s = q_s \left(n_s \operatorname{sym}[\mathbf{u}_s \otimes \mathbf{E}] + \frac{1}{m_s} \operatorname{sym}[\mathbb{P}_s \times \mathbf{B}] \right) - \nabla \cdot \mathbb{Q}_s$$

To close the hierarchy, an expression for the heat flux divergence $\nabla \cdot \mathbb{Q}_s$ has to be found.

RUHR UNIVERSITÄT

RUR

10-moment Fluid Closure



• Hammet-Perkins 1990:

$$\mathbb{Q}_s = -n_{0,s} rac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} v_{\mathrm{th},s} \mathcal{H} \mathbb{T}_s$$

• Snyder-Hammett-Dorland 1997:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{Q}_{\parallel,s} &= -n_{0,s} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{th},\parallel,s} \mathcal{H} \mathbb{T}_{\parallel,s} \\ \mathbb{Q}_{\perp,s} &= -n_{0,s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{th},\parallel,s} \mathcal{H} \left(\mathcal{T}_{\perp,s} - \mathcal{T}_{\perp,0,s} \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{T}_{\perp,0,s}}{\mathcal{T}_{\parallel,0,s}} \right) \frac{|\mathbf{B}|}{B_0} \right) \end{split}$$

Sulem-Passot 2015

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}_{\parallel,s} &= -n_{0,s} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} v_{\mathrm{th},\parallel,s} \mathcal{H} \mathbb{T}_{\parallel,s} \\ \mathbb{Q}_{\perp,s} &= -n_{0,s} \left(1 - \frac{T_{\parallel,0,s}}{T_{\perp,0,s}} \right) \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\Omega_e m_e} \cdot \left(\nabla \times \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_0} \right) \\ &- n_{0,s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} v_{\mathrm{th},\parallel,s} \mathcal{H} \left(T_{\perp,s} - T_{\perp,0,s} \left(1 - \frac{T_{\perp,0,s}}{T_{\parallel,0,s}} \right) \frac{|\mathbf{B}|}{B_0} \right)_{\mathrm{ISSS},\mathrm{15},\mathrm{August 3}} \end{aligned}$$

Simon Lautenbach

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024



To approach the Hilbert transform $\mathcal{H} \sim ik_{\parallel}/|k_{\parallel}|$, it is (conceptually) decomposed into $ik_{\parallel} \sim \partial_{\parallel}$ and $1/|k_{\parallel}|$. For the latter, approximations include:

- Sharma-Hammett-Quataert-Stone 2006: $1/|k_{\parallel}| := 1/k_L = \text{const.}$
- Passot-Henri-Laveder-Sulem 2014: $1/|k_{\parallel}| := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(1/\sqrt{\mathbf{k} \cdot \langle \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rangle \cdot \mathbf{k}}\right)$

Another caveat lies in the generalization of the parallel derivative. Options include a Laplacian diffusion characteristic:

$$abla \cdot \mathbb{Q}_s = -rac{\chi}{k_{L,s}} n_s v_{\mathsf{th},s}
abla^2 \mathbb{T}_s$$

or a symetrization of the temperature gradient:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbb{Q}_{s} = -\frac{\chi}{k_{L,s}} n_{s} v_{\mathsf{th},s} \nabla \cdot \mathsf{sym} \, \nabla \mathbb{T}_{s}$$



$$\partial_t f_i = -\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f_i - \frac{q_i}{m_i} \left(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_i$$

$$\partial_t n_e = -\nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{u}_e)$$

$$m_e \partial_t (n_e \mathbf{u}_e) = n_e q_e (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_e \times \mathbf{B}) - \nabla \cdot \mathbb{P}_e$$

$$\partial_t \mathbb{P}_e = q_e \left(n_e \operatorname{sym} [\mathbf{u}_e \otimes \mathbf{E}] + \frac{1}{m_e} \operatorname{sym} [\mathbb{P}_e \times \mathbf{B}] \right) - \nabla \cdot \mathbb{Q}_e$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbb{Q}_e = -\frac{\chi}{k_{L,e}} n_e v_{\text{th},e} \nabla^2 \mathbb{T}_e$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{E} = c^2 (\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \mu_0 \mathbf{J}) \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho_c}{\varepsilon_0}$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$





In the fluid equations, assume isotropy and vanishing heat flux

$$\partial_t n_s = -\nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{u}_s)$$

$$m_s \partial_t (n_s \mathbf{u}_s) = n_s q_s (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B}) - \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}_s$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_s = q_s n_s \mathbf{u}_s \cdot \mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{u}_s ((N+2)\mathcal{E}_s - m_s n_s u_s^2) \right)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{E} = c^2 (\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \mu_0 \mathbf{J}) \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho_c}{\varepsilon_0}$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$
$\partial_t \mathbf{E}/c^2 \ll \mu_0 \mathbf{J} \quad \Rightarrow \text{Maxwell's equations become}$

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$$
$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$$

Need generalized Ohm's law

$$\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{u} imes \mathbf{B} + rac{1}{q_0 n} \mathbf{J} imes \mathbf{B} - rac{1}{q_0 n}
abla \cdot \mathbb{P}_e - rac{m_e}{q_0} \mathsf{d}_t \mathbf{u}_e$$



Couling Plasma Solvers

Multi-Physics Approach





Simon Lautenbach

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024

PFC (Vlasov)













Algorithm 1: Time stepping of the moment fitting Vlasov-Maxwell solver as it is implemented

1 Initialize with a half step of the Maxwell solver

2 Time Step

- **3** Calculate third moment \mathcal{Q}^t from f^t
- 4 Full Vlasov leapfrog step to advance to f^{t+1}
- **5** Calculate \mathcal{Q}^{t+1} from f^{t+1}
- 6 Interpolate to get $Q^{t+1/2}$
- 7 | Full Runge-Kutta fluid step (input Q at appropriate times)

8 Moment Fitting

- **9** Calculate moments $n_{\rm V}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\rm V}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\rm V}$ from f
- 10 Calculate ten-moment Maxwellian $f_{M,V}$ from n_V , \mathbf{u}_V , \mathcal{P}_V
- 11 Multiply the fluid solver's moments $n_{\rm F}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\rm F}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\rm F}$ by $n_{\rm V}/n_{\rm F}$ to ensure conservation of f
- 12 Calculate ten-moment Maxwellian $f_{M,F}$ from the fluid solver's moments
- **13** Exchange ten-moment Maxwellians: $f = f f_{M,V} + f_{M,F}$
 - Limit f

15 end

14

Simon Lautenbach

16 Full step of the Maxwell solver

Conservative Vlasov-Fluid Coupling



1. ADVANCE VLASOV SIMULATIONS $t^n \rightarrow t^{n+1}$



2. PROVIDE KINETIC FLUID CLOSURE FROM VLASOV DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION



3. ADVANCE 2-FLUID SIMULATIONS $t^n \rightarrow t^{n+1}$



4. MATCH CONSERVATIONAL PROPERTIES



CWENO (Fluid)





Simon Lautenbach

FDTD (Maxwell)





Simon Lautenbach

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024

Model Coupling





Vlasov-Fluid Interface Coupling





Vlasov-Fluid Interface Coupling: Static Coupling





Vlasov-Fluid Interface Coupling: Dissipation Characteristics





RUHR UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM **RU**B



RUHR UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM

RUB

Refinement Criteria





Coupling Complexity





Domain Decomposition in Turbulent Environments



Simon Lautenbach



Maxwell-Ohm Coupling: EM Waves





Simon Lautenbach



Asymptotic-Preserving Fluid-Maxwell / MHD-Ohm Coupling



RUHR UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM Asymptotic-Preserving Fluid-Maxwell / MHD-Ohm Coupling RUB

5-moment two fluid

$$\frac{\partial \rho_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}_s = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_{s}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_{s} \otimes \mathbf{p}_{s}}{\rho_{s}} + P_{s} \overleftrightarrow{\mathbf{I}}\right) = \left(\frac{L}{\delta_{\rho}}\right) \left(\frac{Z_{s}}{A_{s}}\right) \left(\rho_{s} \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{p}_{s} \times \mathbf{B}\right)$$
$$\frac{\partial e_{s}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(e_{s} + P_{s}\right) \frac{\mathbf{p}_{s}}{\rho_{s}}\right) = \left(\frac{L}{\delta_{\rho}}\right) \left(\frac{Z_{s}}{A_{s}}\right) \mathbf{p}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

two temperature MHD

~

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{p} &= 0\\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{p}}{\rho} - \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{B} + \left(P + \frac{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2} \right) \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\mathbf{I}} \right) &= 0\\ \frac{\partial e_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(e_s + P_s \right) \frac{\mathbf{p}_s}{\rho_s} \right) &= \left(\frac{L}{\delta_\rho} \right) \left(\frac{Z_s}{A_s} \right) \mathbf{p}_s \cdot \mathbf{E} \end{aligned}$$

 $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J}$ initially

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Ohm

Maxwell

$$-\frac{1}{(\omega_{p}\tau)^{2}}\left(\frac{L}{\delta_{p}}\right)^{2}\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}+\nabla\times\mathbf{B}=\left(\frac{L}{\delta_{p}}\right)\mathbf{j}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\omega_{p}\tau)^{2}}\frac{L}{\delta_{p}}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E}=\rho_{c}$$

 $\rho \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{B} = \left(\frac{A_i}{Z_i}\right) \left(\left(\frac{\delta_p}{L}\right) \nabla P_e + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} \right)$

Simon Lautenbach

Transition Strategies



speed of light $c \to \infty$ system scale $ightarrow\infty$ $c = 30 v_A$ explicit Maxwell $c = 60 v_A$ implicit Maxwell $c = 120v_A$ implicit Maxwell MHD MHD

single-scale approach

multi-scale approach

Transition Strategies





Maxwell Subcycling





Electron Subcycling





Simon Lautenbach

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024





Mentimeter

Model coupling takeaway impressions

55 responses



The muphy2 framework



Mentimeter

How comfortable are you with using ...



not at all very

Block-centric Design



class Block	struct Block_id	struct Parameter	struct Boundary
+ struct Block_id + struct Parameter + class *Scheme + class Boundary	+ my_id + neighbour_ids[] + my_coords + my_scheme_id + neighbour scheme ids{}	info on domain, numerics, physics, 	performs all mpi exchange, conversion of model data, boundary conditions
loop_cycle update_scheme			

load_balancing

Block-centric Design

Simon Lautenbach

. . .

}

class Block	struct Block_id	struct Parameter	struct Boundary
+ struct Block_id + struct Parameter + class *Scheme + class Boundary	+ my_id + neighbour_ids[] + my_coords + my_scheme_id + paighbour_scheme_ids0	info on domain, numerics, physics,	performs all mpi exchange, conversion of model data, boundary conditions
pop_cycle pdate_scheme pad_balancing	· regroou_screme_day		

```
class Block {
 1
 2
      public:
       Block():
 3
       ~Block();
 4
 5
 6
      void loop_cycle(real &t);
 7
 8
      real get_t_begin();
      real get_t_end();
 9
10
11
       private:
       void update scheme();
12
13
       void load balancing();
14
15
       Block id block id ;
16
      Parameter parameter_;
17
       Mpi_boundary boundary_;
18
       scheme::Scheme *scheme ;
19
20
      MPI Comm comm3d ;
21
```

```
struct Block_id {
1
      int my_id,
2
     neighbour_ids[6],
3
     my_coords[3],
4
     my_scheme_id,
5
6
     neighbour_scheme_ids[6],
7
      compute_node = -1,
8
      selected_device = -1;
9
   };
```

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024

Distributed Memory Parallelism





Simon Lautenbach

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024

Distributed Memory Parallelism



```
class Mpi_boundary {
 1
 2
        public:
        Mpi boundary(const MPI Comm& comm3d, const int* neighbour ranks,
 3
        const int* my_coords, const Parameter& parameter).
 4
        "Mpi boundary():
 6
 7
        real mpi min(real local value);
                                                                                                          P
 8
        int mpi min(int local value):
 9
        real mpi max(real local value);
10
        int mpi max(int local value);
11
        real mpi sum(real local value);
12
        int mpi sum(int local value);
13
14
        // ... (other methods)
                                                                      a<sub>11</sub> a<sub>12</sub> a<sub>13</sub> a<sub>14</sub>
                                                                                                 a_{14}
                                                                                      b11
15
                                                                      a21 a22 a23 a24 b21
                                                                                                 a24
16
        private:
17
        const MPI Comm& comm3d ;
                                                                      a<sub>31</sub> a<sub>32</sub> a<sub>33</sub> a<sub>34</sub>
                                                                                      b31
                                                                                                a<sub>34</sub>
18
        const int* neighbour ranks ,
                                                                      a<sub>41</sub> a<sub>42</sub> a<sub>43</sub> a<sub>44</sub>
                                                                                      b41
19
        * my coords ;
20
        const Parameter& p ;
21
22
        int tags [6] = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\};
        MPI Status status_[12];
23
\mathbf{24}
        MPI Request requests [12]:
25
     1:
```







Simon Lautenbach

ISSS-15; August 3, 2024

Example Model: Vlasov



```
namespace model {
 1
 2
 3
      class Vlasov : public Model {
        public:
 4
 5
        Vlasov(const Parameter& parameter, const Species& species);
        ~Vlasov();
 6
 7
 8
        int get model id();
        real get max dt();
9
10
11
        // wrappers for fortran functions
        void step x(const real dt);
12
13
        void step_y(const real dt);
14
        void step z(const real dt):
        void step vx(const real dt, const real & E, const real & B, bool include boundary cells=false):
15
        void step_vy(const real dt, const real& E, const real& B, bool include_boundary_cells=false);
16
17
        void step vz(const real dt. const real& E. const real& B. bool include boundary cells=false):
18
19
        real* f:
20
21
        private:
22
        const Parameter& parameter :
23
        const Species& species_;
24
      1:
25
26
    } // namespace model
```



C++

- high-level
- general purpose language
- great for complex and dynamic data structures
- gives numerous chances to ruin performance
- it takes a lot of programming experience to write "good" C++ programs
- \rightarrow use for framework

Fortran90

- low-level
- domain-specific language
- excels at array processing
- lacks flexibility
- it takes only a little programming experience to write "fast" Fortran90 programs
- ightarrow use for numeric kernels





More Science, Less Programming



Shared Memory Parallelism



```
subroutine step x vlasov pfc(f,dimX,dimV,BD,dx,dv,v b,dt,dimensionality x)
 1
 2
         . . .
 3
         ! cell update
 4
         !$acc data present(f)
 5
         !$acc parallel
 6
 7
         !$acc loop gang collapse(3)
 8
         do vz = 1.dimV(3)
           do vv = 1.dim V(2)
9
             do vx = 1.dimV(1)
10
               !$acc loop vector collapse(2)
11
12
               do z = -BDZ.dimX(3)+BDZ
                 do v = -BDY.dimX(2)+BDY
13
14
15
                   !$acc loop seg
16
                   do x = 0.dimX(1)+1
17
18
                      . . .
19
                   enddo
                   f(dimX(1),y,z,vx,vy,vz) = f(dimX(1),y,z,vx,vy,vz) + flux_minus_1 - flux_current
20
21
                 enddo
22
               enddo
23
             enddo
24
           enddo
25
         enddo
26
         !$acc end parallel
27
         !$acc end data
28
       end subroutine step x vlasov pfc
```
Combining Models: Example Scheme



```
namespace scheme {
    1
    2
                           // ten moments fluid electrons, Vlasov fluid ions, Maxwell
    3
                            class F10eViM : public Scheme {
    4
                                public:
    5
                                F10eViM(const Block_id &block_id, Mpi_boundary &mpi_boundary, const Parameter &parameter);
    6
    7
                                 ~F10eViM():
    8
   9
                                int get scheme id():
                                void init():
 10
                                void step():
 11
 12
                                real get_dt(int species = 0);
                                void set_dt(real dt) { dt_ = dt; };
 13
                                void output(real t, int output_number, bool output_vtk);
 14
                                int evaluate criterion():
 15
                                void replace dealloc old models(model::Model* plasma model[].
 16
                                model::Model* electromagnetic_model);
 17
 18
                                void calc alloc converted model(model::Model*& model out,
 19
                                int species, int target scheme id);
                                void send model data(real* out buffer, int receiver id);
 20
 21
                                void receive model data(real* in buffer, int sender id);
 22
                                int get data size();
 23
 24
                                // for electron subcycling
 25
                                int get substeps() { return electron substeps ; };
 26
                                int get substep counter() { return electron substep counter ; };
 27
                                void set substeps(int substeps) { electron substeps = substeps; };
 28
                                void set substep counter(int substep counter) { electron substep counter = substep counter; };
 29
                                private:
 30
Ston Lautenbachvoid heat_flux_closure(model::Fluid10 *fluid, const real *ten_moments_tmp, real *sour + sour + sour
                                void exchange plasma solvers(real* data e, real* data i, bool runge kutta onlv=false):
 32
```



Directory Structure:



Key Components:

- bin: Contains machine-specific files and Makefile
- framework: Core framework code
- physics: Physics-specific modules
- README.md: Documentation

Problem Setup



```
subroutine setup whistler wave(n e,n i,u e,u i,E,B,dimX,BD,dx,xb loc)
 1
 2
           use definitions
 3
           implicit none
 4
           integer. intent(in) :: dimX(3). BD(3)
 5
           real(kind=PRC), intent(in) :: dx(3), xb loc(3)
 6
 7
           real(kind=PRC), intent(inout),
     → dimension(-BD(1):dimX(1)+BD(1),-BD(2):dimX(2)+BD(2),-BD(3):dimX(3)+BD(3)) :: n_e, n_i
           real(kind=PRC), intent(inout),
 8
     → dimension(-BD(1):dimX(1)+BD(1),-BD(2):dimX(2)+BD(2),-BD(3):dimX(3)+BD(3),3) :: u_e, u_i, E, B
 9
10
           integer :: x, y, z
           real(kind=PRC) :: xVal, vVal, zVal
11
           real(kind=PRC) :: kw. cw. db. du. cosa. sina. beta
12
13
           kw = 0.62831853 PRC
14
           cw = 1.169 PRC
15
16
           db = 0.05 PRC
17
           du = 0.05845 PRC
18
           cosa = 0.44721 PRC
19
           sina = 0.89443 PRC
20
           beta = 0.9978 PRC
21
22
           n e = 1. PRC
23
           n i = 1. PRC
24
25
           do z = -BD(3), dimX(3) + BD(3)
             zVal = xb loc(3) + (z+0.5 PRC)*dx(3)
26
             do y = -BD(2), dim X(2) + BD(2)
27
28
               yVal = xb loc(2) + (y+0.5 PRC)*dx(2)
S2900n Lautenbach do x = -BD(1), dim X(1) + BD(1)
                                                                                                      ISSS-15; August 3, 2024
                  xVal = xb loc(1) + (x+0.5 PRC)*dx(1)
30
```

Initial Conditions Configuration File

```
void Parameter::init() {
 1
 2
             // GEM
 3
             default scheme = kF10eF10iM;
             output_directory = "YOUR_OUTPUT_PATH/";
 4
 5
             noutputs vtk = 40:
             noutputs_csv = 40;
 6
 7
 8
             // time
 9
             t end = 40.:
10
11
             // physical parameters
12
             nspecies = 2:
             species = new Species[nspecies];
13
             species[kElectron].q = -1.;
14
             species[kIon].q = 1.;
15
             species[kElectron].m = 0.04;
16
             species[kIon].m = 1.;
17
18
             species[kElectron].T0 = 1. / 12.;
19
             species[kIon].T0 = 5. / 12.;
             c0 = 20.;
20
21
             mu0 = 1.;
22
             eps0 = 1./(c0*c0);
23
24
             // setup specific
25
             setup = "harris sheet";
26
             setup_var["n_bg"] = 0.2;
27
             setup var["T bg e"] = species[kElectron].T0;
28
             setup var["T bg i"] = species[kIon].T0;
29
             setup_var_bool["drifting_background"] = true;
30
             setup var bool["sine perturbation"] = true;
Somon Lautenbachsetup var["lambda"] = 0.5;
             setup var["psi"] = 0.1:
32
```





Hands-on