

Space and Laboratory Experiments Using Plasma Waves to Detect Satellites in Low Earth Orbit



P. A. Bernhardt¹, C. Heinselman¹, A.D. Howarth², V Foss² R.L. Scott³, B.E. Eliasson⁴, Mark Koepke⁵, M. Karasik⁶, J. Weaver⁶

¹Geophysical Inst., Univ. of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, USA
²University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada
³DRDC Ottawa Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada
⁴University of Strathclyde, Scotland, UK
⁵Dept. of Phys. and Astro., W. Virginia University, Morgantown WV, USA
⁶Plasma Physics Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington DC, USA



Space Object Hazards from Collisions

Space Debris Sources and Hazards

- Satellites and Space Debris
- Orbital Motion in Magnetized Plasma
- Debris Detection for Collision Avoidance 1
 - Optical Sensors with Scattered Light
 - Radar Scatter of EM (Radio) Waves
 - Wave Generation by Charged Objects
 - In Situ Detection of Plasma Waves
 - Remote Detection of Scatter from Debris Waves
- SOIMOW Deep Dive in Experiment and Theory
 - Charging, Wave Generation and Measurement
 - Experiments with Target Space Objects and Host Sensors
 - Unique FLASH Signature of Space Debris During Conjunctions
 - 20 dB Signal to Noise at ~ 60 km Range between Host Sensor and 10 cm Target
 - Whistler and Magnetosonic Wave Propagation Characteristics
 - Guidance of Whistler Waves in a 19.5° Half Angle Cone Aligned with **B**.
 - Guidance of Compressional Alfven Waves in a Cylinder Around **B**.



2

ty of Alaska



Space Objects Orbit the Earth in a Magnetized Plasma The Ionosphere at the Earth's Limb is Described by SAMI3 Model





Space Object Instrumentation on Swarm-E (CASSIOPE) Micro-Satellite

The Satellite was Launched on 29 September 2013





Imaging particle instruments

- IRM: Imaging rapid ion mass spectrometer
- SEI: Suprathermal electron imager
- NMS: Neutral mass and velocity spectrometer
- Imager and wave receivers-transmitter
 - FAI: Fast auroral imager
 - CERTO: Radio tomography
 - RRI: E-Field receiver
 - MGF: Magnetometer
 - GAP: Differential GPS



LEO Satellites Pass Near the Swarm-E e-POP RRI Sensor using Relatively Frequent Satellite Conjunctions with Starlink Satellites

2024/08/05 15:17:45.0000 UTC





200

300

400

Altitude (km)

500



CASSIOPE Micro-Satellite: Instrument Payload

Starlink Satellite Targets for the SWARM-E e-POP/RRI Host



Electric Fields Measured Made with Swarm-E/RRI for 2 to 10 Minutes at a 62.5 kHz Sample Rate In Burst Mode 1-4 Times per Day During Close Proximity with Space Debris and Other Objects





Mode	Alias	Frequency Range	Theory	In Situ Data	Property
Fast Magnetosonic	Compressional Alfven Wave	Low Frequency $0 < \omega < \Omega_i$ or ω_{LH}	e-MHD	FLASH Below LH Frequency	Isotropic Around B
Alfven	Shear Alfven Wave	Low Frequency $0 < \omega < \Omega_{i}$	Cherenkov	None	Along B
Slow Magnetosonic	Magnetized Ion Acoustic Wave	Low Frequency $0 < \omega < \Omega_{i} \cos \theta$	MKdV	None	Along B
Whistler	Electron Whistler, Helicon Wave	Medium Frequency $\Omega_{\rm i} < \omega < \Omega_{\rm e} \cos \theta$	e-MHD	FLASH Above LH Frequency	19º Cone Around B
Electrostatic Ion Cyclotron	First Ion Cyclotron	Low Frequency $\omega^2 = \Omega_i^2$	Single Fluid	None	E k
EM Ion Cyclotron	Second Ion Cyclotron	Low Frequency $\omega^2 = \Omega_i^2 \cos^2 \theta$	Hall MHD	FLASH Below IC Frequency	Narrow Cone Around B
Ion Acoustic	Unmagnetized Ion Sound Waves	Medium Frequency $\Omega_{\rm i} < \omega < \omega_{\rm pi}$	KdV	None	ES with Debye Lengths
Lower Hybrid	Finite-k _z Lower Hybrid Waves	Low Frequency Fixed $\omega_{LH}^{2} = \frac{\Omega_{i}\Omega_{e} + \Omega_{e}^{2}\cot^{2}\theta}{1 + \Omega_{e}^{2}/\omega_{pe}^{2}}$	e-MHD	In situ on Host	Fan Perpendicular to B

of Alaska



Space Object Identification with Measurements of Orbit Driven Waves Satellite Wake and Wave Generation Experiments



In Situ Ion Acoustic and Lower Hybrid Waves

Fluid Plasma Waves for Nearly Transverse Propagation

Spontaneous Plasma Wave Emission = Finite k, LH Wave $V_A = 10^3$ km/s, $c_s = 10^3$ m/s, $f_{ce} = 10^6$ Hz, $f_{ci} = 50$ Hz, $f_{LH} = 7$ kHz, $\lambda_e = 22.5$ m, $f_{pe} = 2$ MHz

of Alaska

Quasi-Perpendicular Magnetosonic/LH Dispersion



Plasma Wave Detection with Close EncountersUniversity of Strathclyde4 March 2022 FLASH Signature of Space DebrisSUPAN





OPHYSICAL

of Alaska

Swarm-E Encounters: Long March 6A Debris Trail on 7 Feb 2024

University of Strathclyde

SOAP

2024/02/07 08:07:18.7500 UTC

39265 (Swarm-E) Conjunction with 54606 (CZ-6A Space Debris) 2024/02/07 08:15:16.493 at 2.5375 km Range with 0.0389 m² RCS



Narrow striation D_{str} =0.25 m, D_{sat} =0.25 m Movie





GOPHYSICAL Mg



Guidance of Whistler Waves by the Earth's Magnetic Field Storey, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Ser. A, 246, p. 132, 1953 Swanson, Plasma Waves, 2003

• Whistler Dispersion for Wave Normal Angle θ with \mathbf{B}_0

 $\omega = (k^2 c^2 \omega_{ce} \cos \theta) / \omega_{pe}^2$

- Group Velocity Angle α

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} \bigg|_{k} \bigg/ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} \bigg|_{\theta} = -\frac{1}{2} \tan \theta$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{B}_{0} & \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{v}_{p} \\ \theta \\ \theta \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{array} \mathbf{v}_{g} \end{array}$$

University

Strathcly

- Maximum Group Velocity Cone Angle of Whistler Wave $\tan(\theta + \alpha) = \frac{\tan \theta}{2 + \tan^2 \theta}, \frac{\partial \tan(\theta + \alpha)}{\partial \theta} \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \tan^2 \theta_{Max} = 2$ $\tan(\theta + \alpha)_{Max} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2 + 2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \Rightarrow (\theta + \alpha)_{Max} = \tan^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} = 19.4712^{\circ}$
- Charged Space Debris Launches Whistler Waves in to a 19.5° Cone Centered on the Magnetic Field Line B









Locating the Space Debris (or Satellite) After Detection

- Target Signal is Range Dependent Because of Plasma Dispersion
- The Wave E₁ x B₁ Poynting Vector Points Away from the Source

Plasma Wave Detection with Close Encounters University of Strathcly Target Properties from Plasma Wave Observations CIID Across B Distance L to Property Direction of Along B Distance L to Target Emission Plasma Wave Emitter Plasma Wave Emitter Low Frequency Plasma Wave Plasma Wave Instrument **Vector Sensor** Receiver Receiver **Flectric and** Plasma Wave Plasma Wave Measurement **Complex Fields Complex Fields** Magnetic Fields Derived Poynting Whistler Magnetosonic Flux Vector Wave Frequency Quantity Frequency $4\lambda_e\omega_{ce}^{1/2}\omega(t)^{3/2}$ Application $\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*)$ Formula y = 1 km, z = 0y = 0.1 km, z = 5 km a) Whistler signal Magnetosonic/Lower hybrid signal <10⁻³ 0.01 $\dot{D}_{str} = 0.5 \text{ m}$ $\dot{D}_{str} = 0.5 \text{ m}$ D_{sat} = 0.5 m $D_{sat} = 0.5 m$ 0.005 (pT (pT) Mamm <u>а</u>-0.005 -0.01 -4 -2 2 -6 0 t (ms) t (ms) b) b) Spectrogram, sin² window 0.7 ms Spectrogram, sin² window 1.6 ms f (kHz) (kHz) L_{sat} ≈ 5.5 km L_{sat} ≈ 1.2 km -2 -6 4 t (ms) t (ms)

de



Distance (km)

Distance (km)



SOIMOW Satellite and Space Debris

Field Aligned Irregularities Generated by HAARP at 5.95 MHz



40

35

30

25

20 (gp) d

15

- 10

- 5

2023-08-14 00:45:00 160° own -120° 80° VLF Receiver HAARP Transmitter HAARP 65° Generated Backscatter **Ground Clutter**

and Natural

Irregularities

60°

NSICAL

of Alask

Laboratory Experiment with Debris Injection in Magnetized Plasma for Measurement of Electromagnetic and Electrostatic Waves

Naval Research Laboratory Nike for Laser-Driven Acceleration

- Electron beam pumped krypton fluoride (KrF) excimer laser
- 248 nm ultraviolet wavelength
- High Shot Rate: 56-beam, 3 kJ per pulse, 2 shots/hour





References:

Karasik, M., et al. (2010), Acceleration to high velocities and heating by impact using Nike KrF laser, Phys. Plasmas, 17. Kadono, T., et al. (2010), Impact experiments with a new technique for acceleration of projectiles to velocities higher than Earth's escape velocity of 11.2 km/s, J. Geophys. Res., 115.

Collaborators, Max Karasik, James Weaver, Plasma Physics Division, NRL, Washington, DC

Alternative Laboratory Experiment with Debris Injection Through Plasma Laser Driven Pellet Accelerator

of Alask



Collaborators, Max Karasik, James Weaver, Plasma Physics Division, NRL, Washington, DC



Low Pressure Air Breakdown Plasma Source with 46 dBm (40 W) RF Drive





2.44 GHz, 150 mTorr Pressure



2.44 GHz, 120 mTorr Pressure

Ref.: PA Bernhardt, SJ Briczinski, S-M Han AW Fliflet, CE Crockett, CL Siefring, S Gold Visible Plasma Clouds With an Externally Excited Spherical Porous Cavity Resonator IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, 43, 1911-1918, 2015

Experimental Demonstration of coax-driven resonator Capability.



Collaborators, Max Karasik, James Weaver, Plasma Physics Division, NRL, Washington, DC

Joint Effort Between NRL NIKE and UAF GI for Validation of Plasma Wave Generation by Space Objects

- Laboratory Measurements of Plasma Waves from Hypersonic Target in a Magnetized Plasma
 - Experiment Components:
 - Accelerate 1 mm charged target sphere to > 10 km/s
 - Generate plasma cloud using Spherical Porous Cavity Resonator
 - Excite axial magnetic fields with coils in the NIKE Chamber
 - Detect electric and magnetic fields from moving charged projectiles.
 - Install plasma source and measurement probes in NIKE chamber
 - Compare results with e-MHD Theory and Space Observations
 - Acknowledgments
 - The SOIMOW research is funded by the Space Debris Identification and Tracking (SINTRA) Program of IARPA.
 - The European Space Agency's Third Party Mission Program supports the e-POP instruments on the CASSIOPE/Swarm-E satellite.
 - The HAARP HF Facility is Supported by the NSF SAGO Program at UAF